



SPJ Centre for Multidisciplinary Research
901, B - 12, Little Earth, Masulkar City, Kiwale, Pune - 412101, India
Website: www.scmrglobal.org/ijes.php, Email: secretary@scmrglobal.org

Publication Ethics and Malpractice Statement

With an aim to provide service to the research community, SPJ Centre for Multidisciplinary Research (SCMR) started publishing International Journal of Engineering Sciences. The journal does not charge any publication or processing fee from authors up to six pages, after which a fee of USD 30 per page is applicable for the additional pages. All the published papers are available for download from the journal website for authors for free of charge. The other users need to give reference of the source, when using papers downloaded from the journal website. They can obtain a reprint of any published paper by paying a very nominal amount.

The journal is a peer reviewed journal, which follows the best practices on ethical matters, errors, retractions and to deliver the highest standards of publication ethics. The journal upholds the best standard and takes all possible measures against publication malpractices. All papers not in accordance with Publication Ethics and Malpractice Statement standards will be removed from the publication, if malpractice is discovered at any time even after the publication. SCMR checks all papers in a double-blind peer review process.

A responsible Editorial Board decides which of the research papers/articles submitted to the journal should be published and prevents publication malpractice. Unethical behaviour is unacceptable and SCMR does not tolerate plagiarism in any form.

1. Responsibilities of Editors

Review of manuscripts: This must be ensured that each manuscript is initially evaluated by the editor, who may make use of appropriate means, to examine the relevance of the manuscript with the aims of the journal, the originality of the contents of the manuscript and the quality of the material.

Fairness of review: Editors must make all possible efforts to ensure that peer review process of the submitted manuscript is fair, unbiased and timely. They must ensure that each manuscript is evaluated on the basis of its intellectual content and significance without considering sex, gender, race, religion, citizenship, etc. of the author(s).

Decision of publication: The editors have accountability for everything published in the journals. They must work hard and with utmost sincerity to satisfy the requirements of the research community. They must choose honest and relevant reviewers for a double blind review of the submitted papers. Their decision to accept or reject a paper for publication should be based on the fair opinion of the reviewers and significance of the paper.

Confidentiality: Any information about a submitted manuscript must not be disclosed by the editor or any editorial staff to anyone other than the corresponding author, reviewers, other editorial advisers, and the publisher, as considered appropriate. The information regarding manuscripts submitted by the authors must be kept confidential.

Conflicts of interest and disclosure: Editors must ask reviewers, before reviewing a submission, to disclose any potential competing interests in the manuscript.

2. Responsibilities of authors

Submission standards: Authors must submit their original research work and objectively discuss its significance. Manuscripts must be edited in accordance with the submission guidelines of the journal.

Originality of work: Authors need to certify that their work is original and novel.

Redundancy: Concurrent submission of papers describing essentially the same research work must be avoided. Submission of the same paper to more than one journal for publication constitutes unethical publishing behaviour, which is unacceptable.

Acknowledgement of sources: All sources of data used in the manuscript must be acknowledged and publications that have influenced the research be cited completely by authors.

Authorship of the paper: Names of only those persons who have made a significant contribution in conceiving, designing, executing and/or interpreting the submitted work must be included as authors in the manuscript. All those who have significantly contributed to the study should be listed as co-authors. The corresponding author must also ensure that the final submitted version of the manuscript and inclusion of co-authors is seen and approved by all the authors and co-authors.

Access and retention of data: Raw data related to the submitted paper must be retained by authors and provided for editorial review, if requested by the editor.

Errors in published work: If a significant error or inaccuracy is discovered by author in the submitted manuscript, the author must immediately notify it to the editor.

3. Responsibilities of reviewers

Confidentiality: Reviewers must not disclose any information regarding submitted manuscripts. All submitted manuscripts must be treated as privileged information. Guidelines given by editors to the reviewers on handling the submitted manuscripts in confidence must be followed by the reviewers.

Acknowledgement of Sources: This must be ensured by reviewers that authors have acknowledged all sources of data used in their manuscript. Any statement saying that an observation, derivation, or argument had been previously reported should be accompanied by the relevant citation. Any substantial similarity or overlap between the manuscript under consideration and any other published paper, if comes to the notice of reviewers, must be reported to the editor.

Objectivity standards: Review of submitted manuscripts will be conducted objectively. The reviewers must clearly mention their views with adequate arguments. Authors' personal criticism must be avoided.

Promptness: If it is not possible for a reviewer to review a manuscript within the designated guidelines, or within stipulated time, it must be informed to the editor at the earliest, so that the accurate and timely review can be ensured.

Conflict of interest: The reviewers must ensure that they do not have any conflict of interest with respect to the research, the authors and/or the funding bodies.

4. Withdrawal and removal of published paper

Withdrawal: A paper can be withdrawn after publication, if author(s) report significant errors. Editor-in-chief and editorial board will interact with author(s) in detail before accepting withdrawal request. If the paper is withdrawn, it is implemented as follows:

- The paper will be removed from the journal database.
- The link to the online publication site will be removed.
- A phrase stating the reason as "This paper was withdrawn from the journal because of some technical errors" will be shown below the paper title in the Table of Contents and the journal volume page.

Removal: If reviewers, readers, publisher or other subjects notice significant errors or plagiarism in the published paper, the paper will be removed from journal. Before removing a paper, Editor-in-chief

and editorial board will interact with author(s) at length and give them enough time to explain. If it is decided to remove the paper, it will be implemented as follows:

- The paper will be removed from the journal database.
- The link to the online publication site will be removed.
- A phrase stating the reason as "This paper was removed by the journal because of plagiarism" will be shown below the paper title in the Table of Contents and journal volume page.

5. Penalties

Double Submission: If it is found or noticed from other sources that a paper is intentionally submitted to two journals or same paper in any conference without sufficient modification:

- Review process will be terminated.
- The reason of terminating the review process will be sent to reviewers, editorial board and authors.
- Names of all the authors will be black listed and these authors will not be allowed to submit any paper to the journal for the next three years.

Double Publication: If it is found or noticed from other sources that a paper is published intentionally at two places:

- This incidence will be reported to editorial board and author(s).
- The publisher who published same (or very similar) paper will be informed for the necessary action at his end.
- Paper will be removed according to the "Removal" process mentioned in previous section.
- Names of all the authors will be black listed and these authors will not be allowed to submit any paper to the journal for the next three years.

Plagiarism: If intentional plagiarism (including self-plagiarism) is found or noticed from other sources:

- This incidence will be reported to editorial board and author(s).
- The publisher who published same (or very similar) content will be informed for the necessary action at his end.
- Paper will be removed according to the "Removal" process mentioned in previous section.
- Names of all the authors will be black listed and these authors will not be allowed to submit any paper to the journal for the next three years.

All the editors, authors, and reviewers related to International Journal of Engineering Sciences and SCMR agree with the standards of proper ethical behaviour and accept the responsibility for fulfilling the duties and responsibilities, as set by the COPE Code of Conduct for Journal Editors (<http://publicationethics.org/resources/guidelines>).